BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL EASTERN ZONE BENCH, KOLKATA

M.A. 322/2015/EZ & 323/2015/EZ IN O.A. No. 08/2015/EZ

KOLKATA GIANT WHEEL PVT. LTD & ORS

VS

SUBHAS DATTA & ORS

| CORAM: | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Pratap Kumar Ray, Judicial Member |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Hon'ble Prof. (Dr.) P. C. Mishra, Expert Member |
| | and the second sec |
| PRESENT: | Applicant of MA : Mr. Prabal Kumar Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate |
| | Applicant of MAs : Mr. Somnath Ray Chowdhury, Advocate |
| | Despendent No. 2.9.2. Mr. Bikes Key Curte, Adveste |
| | Respondent No. 2 & 3 : Mr. Bikas Kar Gupta, Advocate Respondent No. 3 : Ms. Arpita Chowdhury, Advocate |
| | Respondent No. 7 : Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate |
| | Respondent No. 8 Ms. Poushali Banerjee, Advocate, |
| | Respondent No. 9 : Mr.Sanjib Kr.Mukhopadhyay, Advocate |
| | Respondent No. 10 : Ms. Anamika Pandey, Advocate |
| | Ms. Amrita Pandey, Advocate |
| V. | Respondent No. 11 Mr. G.C.Das, Advocate |
| × 4 | Mr. Mahaboob Ahmed, Advocate |
| | |
| | |
| | Orders of the Tribunal |
| Date & Remar | |
| Item No. 10 & | |
| 8 th October, 20 | |
| 0 0000001, 20 | M.A. 322/2015/EZ : Heard Id. Adv. appearing for the applicant of this |
| | MA. Admittedly, the applicant of this MA intends to be added as party |
| | in the main OA for the purpose of modification of the order dt. |
| | 13.2.2015 relating to injunction prohibiting any construction without |
| | EIA study on the bank of Ganga. By our said order dt. 13.2.15 we |
| | passed an order restraining any further construction in the river bank |
| | and the river bed of river Ganga (Hooghly) in respect of areas viz. |
| | Nazirganj to Bally Khal in western side and Tollygunj to Dakhineswar in |
| | eastern side of the river. It was further ordered that no construction |
| | shall be allowed without undertaking Environment Impact Assessment |
| | (EIA) study and devising Environment Management Plan (EMP). |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

The applicant admittedly has yet not filed any application for seeking prior environmental clearance in the prescribed format. It is submitted by Mr.Mukherjee, ld. Adv. for the applicant that for submission of application in prescribed format seeking prior environment clearance he requires some temporary construction. However, he failed to satisfy us from any documentary evidence that for the purpose of submitting any application for prior environment clearance, he requires temporary construction to be made.

In view of the above, there is no merit in this application, which is misconceived.

Accordingly, this MA for impleadment stands dismissed. However, dismissal of this application will not prevent the applicant in seeking prior environmental clearance after undertaking EIA study.

M.A. 323/2015/EZ : In this application a prayer has been made for modification of the order dt. 12.2.2015. Since we have already rejected the prayer for addition of party, the instant MA also stands rejected.

Justice Pratap Kumar Ray, JM

Prof. (Dr.) P. C. Mishra, EM